| LA    | Location of<br>South<br>Pennine<br>Moors SPA<br>relative to<br>Local<br>Authority | Local<br>Plan<br>Stage  | Scale of<br>develop<br>ment | Approach to<br>SPA  | Key issues / impacts identified   | Risk of<br>significant<br>effect which<br>cannot be<br>mitigated   | Mitigation incorporated into DPD Policy   | Further Work   |
|-------|---|---|-----------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| Leeds | Partly within the Leeds district boundary, NE corner at Ilkley Moor               | Core<br>Strategy<br>Adopted<br>2014<br>Site<br>Allocatio<br>ns Issues<br>and<br>Options<br>2013 | 70,000 net homes            | Habitats regulation Assessment: Screening Determination Feb 2012 Habitats Regulation Assessment Screening Presubmission Changes December 2012 | Protection from recreational pressure  Protection of bird populations  Protection against pollution from transport growth  protection against acid and nitrogen from location of industrial sites which may give rise to emissions  Protection of encroachment from other plants  Protection of birds and nests from increased predation by species which hunt or nest in neighbouring land | Screening concludes that the Core Strategy will not lead to a likely significant effect on any European site. Therefore an Appropriate Assessment is not required. | Policy G1 Green Infrastructure- in securing opportunities consideration given to proximity to SPA  Policy G2- need to liaise with Natural England should there be any tree planning in the vicinity of South Pennine Moors SPA to ensure protection from habitat change or the adverse impact from predator species  Policy G3- Standards for open space- highest possible ANGSt standard  Policy SP1- meet development requirements without adverse impacts on SPAs in particular South Pennine the Moors  Policy G7- protection of important species and habitats- general protection policy  Policy H7- sites should avoid designated areas and should not | Detailed consideration through further site specific work required at later stages as part of Allocations DPD  Where likely significant effects upon European sites are identified, an Appropriate Assessment will be required in relation to lowertier documents (e.g. individual site allocations). Planning applications will also still be subject to the Habitats Regulations |

|  |  | Protection of birds<br>and nests from<br>increased predation<br>by species which<br>also feed off<br>landfill  |   | introduce unacceptable impacts on nature conservation sites  Policy E3- "protection of internationally designated nature conservation sites will be a key consideration  Policies EN3 EN6- integrate waste management hierarchy into design  | process. |
|--|--|--|---|--|----------|
| Pendle Core Strategy submitted for examination December 2014 | Habitats<br>Regulation<br>Assessment<br>Screening Sept<br>2014 | Maintenance of the ecosystems on which the birds depend relies on appropriate grazing levels and burning regimes, and overgrazing by sheep is a key pressure.  Management of grazing is further complicated by the presence of a large number of commons within the SPA. Pressures outside the site, in particular the loss of bird feeding areas through agricultural intensification, increase the | Screening concluded that any effects of the Core Strategy DPD upon European Sites are not likely to be significant and therefore the sites can be screened out as being unlikely to be affected.  Appropriate Assessment for the Core Strategy therefore considered not necessary | Strategic Objective 10- ensures that any options and policies relevant to the effect, in conjunction with Government guidelines and legislation, are suitably worded to prevent or, with mitigation, restrict effects to insignificant.  Policy ENV 1 Protecting and Enhancing our Natural and Historic Environments-Fundamental requirement of the policy is environmental protection and enhancement including establishment of coherent ecological networks across both the borough and administrative boundaries  Policy ENV 5 Pollution and Unstable Land-Minimise and/or mitigation of multiple pollutants. Improvement of air and water |          |

|          |  |  |        |  | vulnerability of the<br>bird populations.<br>Unfavourable<br>Recovering as<br>assessed by Natural<br>England.   |  | quality. Site new development away from other incompatible land uses.  Policy ENV 7 Water Management- Broad ecological objectives protecting and, where necessary restoring the structure and function of aquatic ecosystems.  Policy WRK 5 Tourism- Leisure and Culture Sustainable tourism appreciation of natural and built heritage. Importance of natural environment to the policy objectives is recognised and requires that it is protected and/or enhanced. |  |
|----------|--|--|--------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Kirklees | Core Strategy<br>withdrawn<br>2013<br>Work<br>ongoing on<br>producing a<br>new local<br>plan | South Pennine Moors SAC and the South Penning Moors SPA (Phase 2) fall within the district in the south west | 22,470 | A draft HRA Screening Report was previously produced in relation to an earlier working version of the Proposed Submission Core Strategy.  Recommendati ons for | Noise, vibration, air and light pollution  Physical loss/damage to habitat  Increased vehicle traffic (particularly during the construction phase)  Biological disturbance to | It is considered that sufficient flexibility and appropriate caveats have been built into the Core Strategy to allow for the replacement of any elements of the plan if they are demonstrated to have an | Policy SCS11: Habitats Regulations Assessment added to the Core Strategy. This policy requires planning applications for developments that may have an adverse impact on any European site to be refused, unless there are overriding reasons of public interest for them to be permitted.   | Considered appropriate to rely on the HRA of lower tier DPDs to demonstrate that there would be no adverse effect on the integrity of any European sites |

| Comer. |  | corner. | additional mitigation to be included within the Core Strategy were made and were subsequently addressed by Kirklees Council as the Proposed Submission Core Strategy was finalised.  Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening Opinion Kirklees Core Strategy Nov 2011 | qualifying bird species, e.g. bird strike from turbines, disruption to flight paths, roosting and breeding areas  Interruption to hydrological regimes as a result of increased demand for water abstraction and waste water treatment.  Physical disturbance to bird species.  Physical loss or damage to off-site habitats. | adverse effect on the integrity of any European sites, and an Appropriate Assessment would not enable any more certain conclusions to be drawn at this stage with regards to the likely effects of the Core Strategy. |  |
|--------|--|---------|--|---|---|--|
|--------|--|---------|--|---|---|--|